

Report of: Head of Sport & Active Lifestyles

Report to: Director of City Development

Date: 23rd June 2017

Subject: Award of contract: Aireborough Leisure Centre Phase One Refurbishment

Are specific electoral wards affected? If yes, name(s) of ward(s): Guiseley & Rawdon	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Are there implications for equality and diversity and cohesion and integration?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Is the decision eligible for call-in?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information? If relevant, access to information procedure rule number: Appendix number: Appendix 1 to this report have been marked as confidential under Access to Information Procedure Rules 10.4 (3) on the basis that it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) which, if disclosed to the public, would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of that person or of the Council.	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

Summary of main issues

1. Following the completion of design stage 3, approval was given in March 2017 allowing the Council to undertake a procurement exercise inviting tenders for the construction work required under phase one of the improvement works at Aireborough Leisure Centre. The scope of work covered under phase one was set out in the Executive Board report presented to members at their meeting in November 2016. This exercise is now complete.
2. Authority to spend has been approved for £1.775m, made up of £1.625m council capital and £150k corporate property maintenance capital allocation.
3. This report provides details on the tenders received and the tender evaluation process and subsequently seeks approval from the Director of City Development to award the contract for works.

Recommendations

1. The Director of City Development is recommended to approve the award of contract to William Birch & Sons Ltd on/or around 25th June 2017, as a Significant Operational Decision, for the works to commence on site on 17th July 2017, to be completed by 2nd February 2018. The total value of this contract is £1,454,406.00.

1. Purpose of this report

1.1 The purpose of this report is to:

1.1.1 Document in detail the procurement and tender evaluation process undertaken to identify William Birch & Sons Ltd, as the successful contractor, for phase 1 refurbishing works at the Aireborough Leisure Centre; and

1.1.2 Obtain approval to award a contract to William Birch & Sons Ltd without delay to ensure adequate mobilisation period is available to the contractor.

2. Background information

2.1 In November 2016, members of the Executive Board approved a report, outlining the refurbishment of Aireborough Leisure Centre pool, reception, pool changing rooms, pool plant room and façade as well as the inclusion of a community hub.

2.2 The inclusion of a community hub will replace the services offered at the Guiseley library building. Civic Flavour will also be providing a café from this area.

2.3 Authority to spend has now been approved for a total of £1.775m, with the addition of pool tiling, windows and further mechanical and engineering works. This budget is to cover the full scheme costs including construction, surveys, contingencies and fees.

2.4 The Council's Joint Venture design partner 'NPS Leeds' were appointed to design, the scheme. NPS and the Council's staff have met on a fortnightly basis since this work was assigned to them in order to develop the plan.

2.5 To date the stage 3 report has been signed off which was subject to a value engineering exercise bringing the project to within the available £1.775m. Therefore, design freeze has been achieved. The latest estimated programme indicates a construction start date of 17th July 2017 and a completion date of 2nd February 2018.

2.6 In addition, the Council's Internal Service Provider (ISP), Leeds Building Services, has been commissioned to provide services required relating to electrical services which includes CCTV and alarm systems. The scope of the works required, the cost and the timescales for completion have been agreed. These works will be carried out at the same time as when the main contractor will be on site, with the main contractor overseeing the management, coordination and delivery by the ISP.

2.7 Following consultation and advice from the Projects, Programmes and Procurement Unit (PPPU), approval was given in March 2017 in line with CPR 3.1.8 to invite competitive tenders for the construction works from lot 3 (£1m to £4m range) of the YORBuild2 framework.

2.8 The Director of City Development approved the evaluation methodology and criteria prior to procurement as required by Contracts Procedure Rules 15.1.

3. Main issues

3.1 Complete tender documentation for the contract was issued to the contractors in Lot 3. Six contractors from the YORbuild2 framework lot showed an interest in tendering for the contract. Tenders were invited in late March 2017 and, bidders were invited to a one to one session with the Project team. The meetings were scheduled to take place in the middle of the tender period in order to ensure that

they understood fully what was required of them. All clarifications were issued to bidders via use of YORtender throughout the tender period, During the tender period, three organisations withdrew from participating citing reasons such as their estimator was unavailable due to other work pressures, insufficient time to bid or identifying that they didn't possess the necessary expertise required. The tender submission date was 12 noon on 19th May. Three robust tenders were submitted. .

- 3.2 The tenders were scored using a 70% price and 30% quality split. The process was overseen by a PPPU Procurement Category Manager. All information relating to this process and the weightings applied to the scoring were detailed in full within the published tender documentation.
- 3.3 No tenderers failed to attain the minimum thresholds outlined in the tender documentation relevant to the quality criteria. .
- 3.4 The evaluation criteria focussed on interaction with the public, carrying out construction work safely in a building that remains open to the public, consideration of neighbouring businesses and experience of building leisure facilities.
- 3.5 During the evaluation process, the team identified that the cost for the changing cubicles submitted by one of the tenderers was based on an alternative height specification for the cubicles that did not meet the original height requirements set out in the tender documents. The client confirmed that the proposed alternative specification was acceptable and NPS, the Council's technical advisers agreed. Therefore, the client agreed to accept the bid on this basis subject to sending out a clarification requesting all other tenderers to resubmit their prices based on the proposed alternative.
- 3.6 A clarification was issued via YORtender on Tuesday 20th June with a deadline of Thursday 22nd June requesting a price re-statement from all tenderers following the client confirming a reduction in the height of the cubicles would be acceptable.
- 3.7 All tenderers responded and the pricing element of the bid scored again.
- 3.8 Following completion of the evaluation, William Birch & Sons Ltd were identified as the most economically advantageous tender.
- 3.9 The value of the submitted tender was £1,454,406.00. Following application of the 70/30 price/quality split, their overall position was ranked 1st
- 3.10 The overall evaluation/due diligence process has not identified any significant risks in terms of awarding the contract to the successful bidder. They have both the capacity, resource and experience within this operational field of work deemed necessary to deliver the service requirement.
- 3.11 Prior to award, the preferred organisation will be assessed to ensure adequate policies are in place to support the delivery of this provision, including Insurance, Health & Safety & Safeguarding.
- 3.12 A financial check will also be carried out to ensure they are not a risk to the authority.
- 3.13 Results of this process will be kept on file. Should any issues be identified that would restrict the team from awarding these contracts to either of the providers, the award would be abandoned and the next ranked provider approached. A revised award report would be submitted at this stage.

4. Corporate considerations

4.1 Consultation and engagement

- 4.1.1 In November 2016, members of the Executive Board approved a report, outlining the refurbishment of Aireborough Leisure Centre pool, reception, pool changing rooms, pool plant room and façade as well as the inclusion of a community hub.
- 4.1.2 In December 2016, members of Executive Board approved a report outlining the 'Vision for Leisure and Wellbeing Centres', of which Aireborough Leisure Centre is a part.
- 4.1.3 The Executive Member for Resources and Strategy has been consulted on and is supportive of the project.
- 4.1.4 PPPU are represented on the Project Team and have provided advice throughout the procurement process. The approval to procure report was approved in March 2017 by the Director of City Development.
- 4.1.5 Customer opinion has been catalogued through the annual customer survey which concluded: 'If the impact of capital investment has a favourable impact on customer satisfaction, then the opposite can be said of the lack of investment. All centres occupying the bottom five places could argue that there is a need for significant investment in those sites.'
- 4.1.6 Extensive consultation was carried out in 2010 to establish the need for the swimming related refurbishment. This was supported by ward members at the time and reiterated in a member briefing in 2015.
- 4.1.7 Staff, users and local residents have been consulted in the run-up to design freeze and have contributed to the design decisions.

4.2 Equality and diversity / cohesion and integration

- 4.2.1 An impact assessment has been completed for sport maintenance programme. The full document is available as a Background Document, but the main issues are as follows :-
- The works should enhance disable access;
 - The works will support the need for discrete changing to encourage women, older people and families to utilise the facilities;
 - Any closures and changes to the programmes should be communicated to users and groups in methods most suitable to the groups and users in question.

4.3 Council policies and best council plan

- 4.3.1 The Best Council Plan update of 2016/17 sets Council outcomes of:
- Enjoy happy, healthy, active lives;
 - Enjoy greater access to green spaces, leisure and the arts;
- 4.3.2 Both the above outcomes are supported by the improvement of the facilities to encourage current users to keep using the leisure centres and to ensure they encourage new users to participate in sport and leisure activities.
- 4.3.3 Additionally, the project supports the priorities of;

- Supporting communities, raising aspirations – by providing improved community facilities;
- Supporting children to have the best start in life – by providing a learn to swim programme and access to community library and sport facilities;
- Promoting physical activity – by providing accessible and welcoming sport and leisure facilities;
- Supporting healthy aging – by providing appropriate activity programmes for older people.

4.4 Resources and value for money

4.4.1 The project team was kept consistent throughout the procurement process with representation from Sport & Active Lifestyles and PPPU.

4.4.2 Due diligence was undertaken on price to ensure that the contract values are realistic and sustainable.

4.4.3 The budget for the project is as follows:

- Main Contract (subject of this Tender Report): £1,443,893.67*
- LBS Direct Works (tendered separately): £114,204.33
- **Construction Sub-total: £1,558,098.00**
- Fees / surveys etc: £193,803.00
- Asbestos Survey: £3,099.00
- Asbestos Removal Risk (allowance only): £20,000.00
- **PTE Total (including Fees etc.): £1,775,000.00**

4.4.4 Therefore, the £1,454,406.00 tender is £10,512.33 (0.73%) above the estimate. This amount can be funded through the sport maintenance budget 32039/COM/000.

4.5 Legal implications, access to information, and call-in

4.5.1 This report is a subsequent decision of a previous key decision and is therefore a Significant Operational Decision and not subject to call in.

4.5.2 The tender has been evaluated in accordance with the evaluation criteria set out in the tender documents and therefore, provided the works are still required and affordable the winning bidder must be awarded the contract. Thus, in making the final decision, the Director of City Development should be satisfied that this contract represents best value for the Council.

4.5.3 Appendix 1 to this report has been marked as confidential under Access to Information Procedure Rules 10.4 (3) on the basis that it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) which, if disclosed to the public, would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of that person or of the Council.

4.6 Risk management

4.6.1 The contract contains elements of contingency, namely:

- Concrete repairs to swimming pools: £25k provisional sum
- Concrete repairs elsewhere within the building: £25k provisional sum
- General contingency: £25k

- 4.6.2 It is recognised that the level of contingency is a low percentage (5%) of the total construction budget. However, during the next stage of the project prior to commencement of construction, the team in partnership with the appointed contractor will carry out a value engineering exercise with a view to reducing costs and any savings created will be added to the contingency fund. A target of £75k has been set but the amount of savings is subject to the availability of suitable alternative specification
- 4.6.3 It is planned to have daily site managers meetings so that disruption to the public using the building is kept to a minimum.
- 4.6.4 A Project Manager from PPPU, who is overseeing the project, is producing a contract management plan for the project in order to minimise risks to the council.
- 4.6.5 The cost to the council of delaying the re-opening of phase 1 is approximately £7K per week

5. Conclusions

- 5.1 The procurement process undertaken has been in accordance with Contracts Procedure Rules, with full guidance and support from the PPPU.
- 5.2 Following the tender evaluation of all three submissions, a clear preferred bidder has been identified as William Birch & Sons Ltd. This has taken into consideration both quality and price submission and is therefore the most economically advantageous tenders for this contract.
- 5.3 Subject to approval, it is proposed to award the contract on 25th June 2017, with a 3 week mobilisation period with the works to commence on 17th July 2017 and complete by 2nd February 2018.

6. Recommendations

- 6.1 The Director of City Development is recommended to approve the award of contract to William Birch & Sons Ltd, on/or around 25th June 2017, as a Significant Operational Decision, for the works to commence on site on 17th July 2017, to be completed by 2nd February 2018. The total value of this contract is £1,454,406.00.

7. Background documents¹

- 7.1 Confidential Appendix 1 - NPS tender report

¹ The background documents listed in this section are available to download from the Council's website, unless they contain confidential or exempt information. The list of background documents does not include published works.



Appendix 1 - Tender Report

Appendix 1 to this report have been marked as confidential under Access to Information Procedure Rules 10.4 (3) on the basis that it contains information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information) which, if disclosed to the public, would, or would be likely to prejudice the commercial interests of that person or of the Council.

CONFIDENTIAL

TENDER REPORT



for

Refurbishment Works

at

Aireborough Leisure Centre

Scheme Nr: 14-24-17-1-1088

June 2017

NPS LEEDS LTD

CONTENTS

- 1 Terms of Reference
- 2 Introduction
- 3 Opt Out Tender
- 4 Price
- 5 Quality
- 6 Arithmetical and Technical Check
- 7 Tender Reconciliation
- 8 Other Tender Matters
- 9 Summary and Recommendation

Appendix

- A Tender Analysis
- B LBS Form of Tender

1 TERMS OF REFERENCE

This report has been prepared by NPS Leeds Ltd following the receipt of tender submissions (Price and Quality) for the refurbishment and general upgrade of (part of) Aireborough Leisure Centre, Leeds.

The aim of this report is to determine the validity of the returned documents and identify the most suitable tender for the proposed works.

All tender reports are confidential and due to their nature (being commercially sensitive) are intended to be read by the addressees only.

2 INTRODUCTION

The tenders have been assessed on the competitiveness of the pricing and the quality assessment based on the quality submission documents. Price/Quality assessment split is 70/30.

Six tenderers were selected from the YORbuild2 Framework by the Projects Programmes & Procurement Unit (PPPU) of Leeds City Council.

On Friday 07 April 2017 the tender documentation was issued to the tenderers.

The project details are as follows:

Project Title	Refurbishment Works – Aireborough Leisure Centre
Scheme Number	14-24-17-1-1088
Client	Leeds City Council
Tender Documents	Contract Data Parts 1 & 2 Form of Tender The Prices / Activity Schedule Works Information Site Information
Contract	NEC3 Engineering and Construction Contract 2013 – Option A
Start Date	09 th June 2017
Access Date	03 rd July 2017
Completion Date	22 nd January 2018
Contract Period	32 weeks (from Start Date – 29 weeks from Access Date)
Retention	5%
Rate of Damages	£7,000.00 per week, or part thereof
Defects Period	52 weeks
Insurance Option	Loss of or damage to the works, Plant & Materials by <i>Employer</i> . Additionally, the <i>Contractor</i> is asked to provide the following Insurance cover: <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Public Liability £10m;- Employer’s Liability £10m;- Professional Indemnity £2m; all in each and every occurrence.

3 TENDER OPT OUT

Three tenderers (out of six) decided to opt out of the tender process following the issue of the tender documents and mid-tender interviews. These were ESH Construction Ltd, Henry Boot Construction Ltd and Robertson Construction Group Ltd. Further details with regards to the reasoning for the withdrawals can be obtained from PPPU, who were co-ordinating communications with the tendering Contractors.

4 PRICE

Three tender submissions were returned on Friday 19 May 2017 and these were opened and registered accordingly by PPPU.

The returned tender prices were as follows:-

William Birch & Sons Ltd	£1,454,406.00
Geo. Houlton & Sons Ltd	£1,526,160.88
Interserve Construction Ltd	£1,697,221.00
ESH Construction Ltd	DNR
Henry Boot Construction Ltd	DNR
Robertson Construction Group Ltd	DNR

Following a post tender clarification with regards to cubicle heights in the Changing Village, a price re-submission was necessary and on Thursday 22 June 2017 the revised tender prices were as follows:-

William Birch & Sons Ltd	£1,454,406.00	70.00 points
Geo. Houlton & Sons Ltd	£1,505,936.99	67.50 points
Interserve Construction Ltd	£1,697,221.00	58.30 points

The maximum number of marks available for price is 70. This number of marks is awarded to the lowest tender price. The remaining tender prices attract reduced scores relative to the price difference above the lowest price.

See Appendix A for details of the Prices / tender analysis.

The tenders vary from £1,454,406.00 to £1,697,221.00, a range of 16.70%.

5 QUALITY

The quality assessment was carried out by the appropriate panel of scorers and completed by Monday 05 June 2017.

The results of the quality assessment were as follows:-

William Birch & Sons Ltd	30.00 points
Geo. Houlton & Sons Ltd	22.30 points
Interserve Construction Ltd	29.30 points
ESH Construction Ltd	DNR
Henry Boot Construction Ltd	DNR
Robertson Construction Group Ltd	DNR

The maximum number of marks available for quality is 30.

6 ARITHMETICAL AND TECHNICAL CHECK

- The Forms of Tender, Summaries of the Prices and Breakdowns to the Prices / Activity Schedules for each of the returned tenders were submitted to NPS Leeds Ltd for evaluation and checking by PPPU on Tuesday 23 May 2017.
- From an initial review of the documentation, it was clear that several documents submitted by William Birch & Sons Ltd were incomplete and needed to be completed in full. These included the Summary of the Prices and Contract Data – Part 2, and were communicated back via PPPU.
- Following a further review of each bid, several common Pricing issues became apparent with the returned tenders. These included:
 - Breakdown to the cost of Preliminaries;
 - Further extension of Prices within the Activity Schedule;
 - General queries with regards to inclusion of certain key elements e.g. entrance doors & screens, black vertical cladding system / brise soleil, remedial works to steel beams / columns and remedial works to rooflights etc.
- A list of pricing queries was drafted for each returned tender and submitted to PPPU for circulation to the tendering Contractors on Wednesday 31 May 2017.
- Responses from the tendering Contractors were submitted to NPS Leeds Ltd for consideration on Monday 05 June 2017.
- William Birch & Sons Ltd were asked for the Summary of the Prices and Contract Data – Part 2 to be completed in full. They were also asked for prices to be extended to each item in the Breakdown to the Prices / Activity Schedule and a breakdown to the Preliminaries to be provided. Although prices had not been extended to each and every item within the Activity Schedule (this will be required post tender), there was sufficient Pricing information available to complete the tender assessment.
- William Birch & Sons Ltd were also asked a series a queries with regards to the inclusion or otherwise of certain elements of work, as noted above, and they confirmed that they were 'content' that these were included.
- Geo. Houlton & Sons Ltd were also asked for prices to be extended to each item in the Breakdown to the Prices / Activity Schedule and a breakdown to the Preliminaries to be provided. They were asked with regards to the inclusion of drainage items and concrete plinths below lockers. To the later, they confirmed that these were excluded.
- Interserve Construction Ltd were also asked for prices to be extended to each item in the Breakdown to the Prices / Activity Schedule and a breakdown to the Preliminaries to be provided. They were asked with regards to the inclusion of several items such as internal doors, corner protection strips, brise soleil, drainage and mechanical sub-total. They confirmed that these were all in order / included.

- During a final review of the covering letter that accompanied William Birch's original tender submission, it became apparent that they had included all cubicles in the Changing Village to be the same height. When queried about this, they confirmed they had included for the lower of the two cubicle heights and to provide the cubicles as drawn would cost a further £13,132.00. As this addition would put further pressure on the budget, in discussion with the Client team, it was agreed that the lower cubicle height would be acceptable. Subsequently, in discussion with PPPU, it was confirmed that a price re-submission was necessary to ensure that the tenders were submitted on the same basis. This was carried out w/c 19 June 2017.

7 TENDER RECONCILIATION

- The Pre Tender Estimate (PTE) for the scheme can be broken down as follows:
 - Main Contract (subject of this Tender Report): £1,443,893.67*
 - LBS Direct Works (tendered separately): £114,204.33
 - **Construction Sub-total: £1,558,098.00**
 - Fees / surveys etc: £193,803.00
 - Asbestos Survey: £3,099.00
 - Asbestos Removal Risk (allowance only): £20,000.00
 - **PTE Total (including Fees etc): £1,775,000.00**

* adjusted following receipt of LBS Direct Works Form of Tender, included at Appendix B.

- The lowest tender figure of £1,454,406.00 is £10,512.33 (0.73%) above the estimate.

Referring to the Tender Analysis included at Appendix A:

- In comparison with the PTE, the lowest tender, William Birch & Sons Ltd, is within the PTE allowances for Section 1 (Preliminaries) and Sections 2 – 4 (Changing Village, Entrance / Reception and Community HUB). This is primarily due to the main structural work / entrance doors & screens / windows etc being included elsewhere within the tender and sanitary ware also being included within Section 7 (Mechanical). Conversely, Sections 5 – 8 (Ancillary, Facade / Externals, Mechanical and Electrical) are all slightly higher than the PTE allowances however, this is partly attributable to monies being included from earlier Sections as described. Overall, the lowest tender and PTE are comparable.
- It should be noted that all the tender figures and PTE include Section 9 (Provisional Sums) in the sum of £171,300.00. Although these are included within the tender sum, their expenditure is to be by instruction ONLY from the Project Manager (PM). Notable Provisional Sums include concrete repairs to the swimming pool tanks (£25k), concrete repairs elsewhere within the building (£25k), replacement of windows (£70k) and general contingency (£25k). Any Provisional Sums not required during the course of the Works shall be omitted by instruction from the PM and monies retained by the Client.

8 OTHER TENDER MATTERS

- Planning Consent: Any relevant Planning Conditions affecting the successful Contractor to be covered at the Pre-Start Meeting.

- Building Control: Any relevant Building Control issues affecting the successful Contractor to be covered at the Pre-Start Meeting.
- Asbestos Removal: Shall be completed BY OTHERS prior to the commencement of the Works by the successful Contractor.

9 SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATION

Tenderer	Price Score	Quality Score	Total Score	Rank
William Birch & Sons Ltd	70.00	30.00	100.00	1
Geo. Houlton & Sons Ltd	67.50	22.30	89.80	2
Interserve Construction Ltd	58.30	29.30	87.60	3
ESH Construction Ltd	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Henry Boot Construction Ltd	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Robertson Construction Group Ltd	N/A	N/A	N/A	

- William Birch & Sons Ltd are the preferred Contractor following both the Price and Quality assessments, as shown above and described in the report.
- The tender price in the sum of **£1,454,406.00** (excluding VAT) submitted by William Birch & Sons Ltd is arithmetically correct and all pricing queries have been resolved.
- Prior to any appointment however, it is recommended that the following are confirmed with the preferred Contractor:
 - **Programme;** the Contractor's programme should be reviewed and agreed by the Client / PM. The dates should match those as set out in Section 2 of this report (and Contract Data Part One)*. A programme has not been submitted to NPS Leeds Ltd for comment or review as part of this report.
* a Supplementary Agreement will now be required to revise the Contract dates.
 - **Insurances;** the Client should confirm that the Contractor holds the requisite insurances (as stated in Contract Data Part One) and, if these are to expire during the Works, the re-newal dated noted.
 - **Warranties / Guarantees;** the Client should satisfy themselves that appropriate warranties / guarantees will be sought from the Contractor, as required by Leeds City Council (LCC).
- This report confirms that, on the basis of the Price assessment scoring, combined with the Quality assessment scoring (compiled separately from the Price assessment), the tender of William Birch & Sons Ltd can be accepted once the necessary approvals have been gained. These may include chief officer approval (by way of central finance) and delegated decision (from the appropriate chief officer) as well as approval from the Projects, Programmes and Procurement Unit (PPPU) of Leeds City Council that a compliant tender process has been followed.

22 June 2017

APPENDIX A

Tender Analysis

APPENDIX B

LBS Form of Tender

Activity Schedule

	PTE (£)	Birch (£)	Houlton (£)	Interserve (£)
<u>SUMMARY</u>				
PART 1 - PRELIMINARIES	164,486.00	155,993.00	116,818.22	174,833.00
PART 2 - NEW CHANGING VILLAGE				
2.1 Demolition & Alterations	38,500.00	12,359.00	25,640.24	24,849.00
2.2 Internal Walls & Partitions	76,490.00	133,132.00	90,025.43	46,541.00
2.3 Internal Doors	25,150.00	37,540.00	48,882.52	43,032.00
2.4 Wall Finishes	76,459.00	57,794.00	54,379.44	57,903.00
2.5 Floor Finishes	30,475.00	61,922.00	38,343.18	41,779.00
2.6 Ceiling Finishes	7,330.00	3,955.00	1,532.24	2,398.00
2.7 Fittings etc	48,830.00	6,198.00	46,186.36	83,535.00
2.8 Sanitary Installations	22,450.00	-	21,111.21	-
Sub-total	325,684.00	312,900.00	326,100.62	300,037.00
PART 3 - NEW ENTRANCE AND RECEPTION				
3.1 Demolition & Alterations	39,500.00	3,354.00	25,540.80	21,854.00
3.2 Internal Walls & Partitions	11,220.00	8,219.00	268.98	10,498.00
3.3 Internal Doors	2,700.00	4,175.00	7,238.17	9,039.00
3.4 Wall Finishes	3,555.00	2,765.00	2,553.96	2,409.00
3.5 Floor Finishes	9,221.00	1,218.00	9,261.57	12,637.00
3.6 Ceiling Finishes	2,210.00	780.00	411.07	4,087.00
3.7 Fittings etc	9,000.00	14,156.00	11,802.47	11,936.00
3.8 Sanitary Installations	1,500.00	-	-	-
Sub-total	78,906.00	34,667.00	57,077.02	72,460.00
PART 4 - COMMUNITY HUB				
4.1 Demolition & Alterations	23,050.00	1,415.00	1,168.00	12,301.00
4.2 Internal Walls & Partitions	1,530.00	3,290.00	1,106.16	1,939.00
4.3 Internal Doors	-	-	-	-
4.4 Wall Finishes	2,640.00	9,874.00	3,437.23	2,754.00
4.5 Floor Finishes	6,742.00	5,021.00	6,651.33	8,307.00
4.6 Ceiling Finishes	2,590.00	1,165.00	50.00	929.00
4.7 Fittings etc	-	-	275.00	-
4.8 Sanitary Installations	-	-	-	-
Sub-total	36,552.00	20,765.00	12,687.72	26,230.00

Activity Schedule

	PTE (£)	Birch (£)	Houlton (£)	Interserve (£)
PART 5 - ANCILLARY / SWIMMING POOL				
5.1 Main Pool	109,190.00	164,176.00	105,281.91	127,187.00
5.2 Small Pool	30,410.00	450.00	36,433.94	42,389.00
5.3 Generally	66,290.00	68,710.00	42,581.68	76,841.00
Sub-total	205,890.00	233,336.00	184,297.53	246,417.00
PART 6 - FACADE / EXTERNAL WORKS				
6.1 New Facade	73,600.00	82,305.00	54,150.00	82,104.00
6.2 External Works	19,000.00	18,172.00	114,485.27	86,056.00
Sub-total	92,600.00	100,477.00	168,635.27	168,160.00
PART 7 - MECHANICAL INSTALLATIONS	259,920.00	311,096.00	284,328.65	334,755.00
PART 8 - ELECTRICAL INSTALLATIONS	108,555.67	113,872.00	119,843.00	133,838.00
PART 9 - PROVISIONAL SUMS	171,300.00	171,300.00	171,300.00	171,300.00
Fee % (Direct) _____ %	-	Inc	64,848.96	69,191.00
Fee % (Sub-contracted) _____ %	-	Inc	Inc	Inc
TENDERED TOTAL OF THE PRICES	1,443,893.67	1,454,406.00	1,505,936.99	1,697,221.00

DATE: 28/03/17

ELECTRICAL TENDER SUMMARY/SCHEDULE

PROJECT: Aireborough Leisure Centre (LBS Works)

SCHEME NO: 17-01-1088

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	£	p
1.00	Installation of Cable Traywork] included	
2.00	Installation of Multi compartment Trunking		
3.00 3.01	General Purpose power outlets. General Purpose power circuits.		
4.00	Analogue Addressable Fire Alarm Equipment/Normal Multizone Installations] £65,645.70	
4.01	Fire Alarm Circuits		
5.00	Earthing Installations General] included	
6.01	Provision and Installations of Security & Closed Circuit TV Equipment.] £35,928.63	
6.02	Provision and Installation of all Security & CCTV circuits/control wiring circuits.		
7.00	For the Provision/Installation of all ICT equipment.] £10,630.00	
7.01	For the Provision and Installation of all ICT Cabling.		
8.00	Provision and Installation of all other Installations not detailed previously but provided on this Installation		
9.00	For the provision of, "As Fitted Drawing and Operation Manuals"] £2000.00	
10.00	For the provision of the entire Installation on Autocad Discs		
11.00	Installation of manholes and ducts external to the building		
12.00	Testing and commissioning of the whole and parts of the installation.] included	
13.00	Total Builders Work associated with the Electrical Installations.		
TOTAL TO FORM OF TENDER		£114,204.33	